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Foreword

This Report presents the findings of a national situation testing study measuring discrimination against native Swedes of immigrant background, conducted in Sweden during 2006.

The International Labour Office was commissioned to carry out this study by the national authority responsible for integration policy, the Swedish Integration Board (Integrationsverket) acting on behalf of the Government of Sweden.

The ILO is mandated to elaborate, promote and supervise international standards regarding the world of work; to provide orientation and technical assistance to its tripartite constituents; and to address issues affecting workers, employers and governments world-wide. The ILO has addressed the treatment of migrant workers since its inception in 1919. It has elaborated standards and measures to uphold workers’ rights and dignity and to protect them from all types of discrimination in employment.

Employment is a fundamental means of participation in society, and discrimination implies a waste of valuable human resources. Thus, discrimination in access to employment not only endangers the success of any efforts for migrants’ integration, but also leads to social tensions and economic loss for individual enterprises as well as the national economy as a whole.

The ILO has conducted research to determine the occurrence of discrimination in access to employment in Belgium, Germany, Italy, the Netherlands, Spain, and the United States, and most recently in France and Sweden. This research obtained statistically significant data documenting that migrant- or immigrant-origin workers are discriminated against when trying to find a job.

Practice Tests are carried out through persons posing as job-seekers whose characteristics are carefully matched except for nationality/national origin. These practice tests document real hiring situations and focus on actual behaviour—rather than on subjective statements—of employers seeking to fill vacancies. Test outcomes cannot be influenced by socially desirable answers, as the employers tested are not aware of the experiment. Practice tests thus clearly have an advantage over attitude testing.

ILO testing has showed discrimination in access to employment to be of considerable importance in all countries covered by the research. Candidates of immigrant origin often had to make three to four times as many applications as candidates of “national extraction” in order to get to the next step of the hiring process.

The ILO carries out this testing to help member governments and social partners understand discriminatory behaviour in the labour market. Such information is a critical resource in order to motivate and shape effective remedies.

ILO testing research has had significant impact in countries where it has been conducted. In Belgium, for example, the ILO study was credited with shaping the content of national legislation adopted in 2003 to put into effect the EU Directive on racism (Council Directive 2000/43/EC). Campaigns against discrimination were established at regional and federal levels by the three national trade union federations and the National Federation of Employers adopted an anti-discrimination code of practice for its constituents.
This report is published as part of the ILO working paper series *International Migration Papers* with the purpose of disseminating the results of research on discrimination in Sweden, research commissioned by the Government of Sweden. As with previous studies, we hope that presentation of these results will contribute significantly to efforts by the government and social partners to effectively combat discrimination.

The objective of the *International Migration Papers* is to convey current and innovative studies on global labour migration issues and trends to decision makers, migration policy implementers, and researchers. In doing so, the ILO hopes to stimulate dialogue and policy development on regulating labour migration to contribute to economic growth and employment in both origin and destination countries, while ensuring respect for the rights of migrant workers and the economic and social integration.
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1. Introduction

From the end of World War II until the 1973 oil crisis, labour migration to Sweden was quite extensive. Sweden never instituted a guest worker system; instead, these migrants and their families were granted permanent residence permits. Coming predominantly from Finland, Southern Europe and Turkey, they worked mainly in the manufacturing sector and their level of education was somewhat low. Since the mid-1970s, labour migration has significantly declined. In fact, only about 10% of the almost 40,000 residence permits granted to non-Nordic citizens in 2004 were predicated on labour market needs (Statistics Sweden, 2005).

Following the oil crisis and subsequent industrial downturn throughout Europe, refugees and their families became Sweden’s primary immigration category. In the 1970s they came from countries in Latin America, followed by refugees from countries in the Middle East and Africa, and in the 1990s from former Yugoslavia. Currently, almost 72% of the foreign born population has resided in Sweden for ten years or more.

In the Swedish immigration debate, labour market participation of the foreign-born is one of the most important and frequently-used measures of integration into Swedish society. Especially in terms of governmental policy, the reduction of immigrant unemployment has been repeatedly advocated as the most important objective in terms of combating segregation and increasing integration. For example, according to the 2001 report of the Swedish Integration Board (Integrationsverket):

The most important cause of marginalization and exclusion is the lack of work and of the ability to support oneself ... The most important task for the policy of integration is to create the necessary prerequisites for people to support themselves ... Employment is the principal lever for integration. A place in the Swedish labour market is the key for each individual to be able to build his or her own life project (p. 17).  

The idea behind the so-called arbetslinje (work line) is that increased labour market integration will lead to increased integration in all other areas, just as unemployment will lead to increased segregation in society. This position heeds Frank Bovenkerk’s warning:

...that immigrant groups will eventually end up being part of an ethnic underclass. ... Rejection in the labour market results in restriction of possibilities of finding suitable housing and this in turn results in relocation in neighbourhoods where children encounter fewer chances to follow good education. Poor education makes for poor chances in the labour market and so on. ... Rejected groups find themselves suffering from a multitude of social problems (poverty, crime, substance abuse, etc.) that makes it hard to escape from this vicious circle. ... In combination these processes can be expected to pervert values of equality, breed social conflict and end up in political turmoil. An unequal society divided by ethnicity or "race" produces both resistance by the minorities and provocations by a strong ultra-right.

Fighting labour market discrimination is the most strategic and effective way to break this circle. Prof. Bovenkerk and the International Labour Organisation (ILO) developed a meth-

---

odology which measures the level of unequal treatment on the labour market, i.e. the level of
discrimination. A pair of testers, one of native origin and one of immigrant origin, are
matched to apply for the same jobs. The testers are chosen and trained to be as similar as pos-
sible, both in terms of their job applicant characteristics and in terms of their actual appear-
ance, body language, attitude, personality etc. The difference in the outcome of their applica-
tions is then calculated and used as a measure of manifest discrimination.

To date, the ILO has conducted research based on this methodology in Belgium, Germany,
Italy, the Netherlands, and Spain. Similar research has been conducted by academic institu-
tions in Denmark and Switzerland to measure access to employment by immigrant groups.
Most recently, testing was conducted simultaneously in Sweden and France. This timing has
allowed for a sharing of ideas and mutual problem-solving over the course of the testing
process.

In 2005, the Swedish government decided to conduct a study to determine levels of Swedish
labour market discrimination. The Swedish authority responsible for integration policy de-
velopment, the Integration Board (Integrationsverket), was given the responsibility for carrying
out the study. It commissioned the International Labour Organisation (ILO) to conduct
the study in accordance with the standards of the Bovenkerk/ILO methodology.

This study focused on potential discrimination experienced by native Swedish youth (20-24
years) from families originating in the Middle East. Tests were conducted in Stockholm,
Malmö and Gothenburg. In order to minimise the risk of other variables influencing testing
outcomes—such as language skills, educational background and cultural aspects—the study
chose to target members of a minority group with the same qualifications and experience as
their majority counterpart. This allowed testing outcomes to reflect the degree of discrimina-
tion based purely on ethnicity/national origin.

The first two sections of the report serve as a general background, with facts on the legisla-
tive and institutional framework against discrimination and a general overview of immigrant
labour market participation, as well as youth unemployment. This is followed in Section Four
by a review of previous studies and research, specifically examining the situation for natives
of immigrant origin on the labour market, as well as available data regarding labour market
participation for the target groups in the three cities. In Section Five the ILO methodology
and its implementation in Sweden is described in detail. The outcome of the testing is pre-
sented in Section Six, and lastly, analysis and conclusions in Section Seven.

The study was performed by Ramboll Management, a large private research consultancy
company which conducts research, evaluations and policy development within the public sec-
tor, on the national and international levels. This research project was led by Karin Attström.
Contributions to the report have been made by city supervisors responsible for managing the
testing in each city: Anna von Bothmer, Karin Norvell from Ramboll Management and
Marianne Blomsterberg from the Gothenburg University Department of Work Science.
Background material was provided by Åke Sander from the Gothenburg University Depart-
ment of Religious Studies. The study and report have also benefited from the support and
supervision of Patrick Taran and Séverine Dalban-Moreynas of the ILO, Geneva. August
Gächter, Zentrum für Soziale Innovation, Vienna, provided methodological supervision and
valuable input to the interpretation of data, as well as a revised calculation annexed to this
report.
2. Legislative framework and policies on anti-discrimination

The right to equality of treatment among immigrant workers, their descendents and persons considered “native” is established in international normative standards. Such rights have also been generally incorporated into national legislation throughout Europe, notably Sweden. The notion that discrimination is unacceptable in principle and in law is unambiguous within the international normative documents on which legislation in Sweden is based. Discrimination is expressly proscribed in the Universal Declaration on Human Rights, as well as in the seven international conventions characterized as the fundamental instruments defining basic, universal human rights and ensuring their explicit extension to vulnerable groups world-wide. Sweden has ratified six of these conventions, notably the Convention for the Elimination of Racism and Racial Discrimination (CERD). The ILO Discrimination (Employment and Occupation) Convention No.111, 1958, also ratified by Sweden, states in Article 1 that:

For the purpose of this Convention the term “discrimination” includes;

(a) any distinction, exclusion or preference made on the basis of race, colour, sex, religion, political opinion, national extraction or social origin, which has the effect of nullifying or impairing equality of opportunity or treatment in employment or occupation;

(b) such other distinction, exclusion or preference which has the effect of nullifying or impairing equality of opportunity or treatment in employment or occupation as may be determined by the Member concerned after consultation with representative employer and workers’ organisations, where such exist, and with other appropriate bodies.

The ILO Migrant Workers (Supplementary Provisions) Convention, No. 143 (1975)—also ratified by Sweden—stipulates in Article 10 that, “Each Member for which this Convention is in force undertakes to declare and pursue a national policy designed to promote and to guarantee, by methods appropriate to national conditions and practice, equality of opportunity and treatment in respect of employment and occupation...”

ILO standards for migrant workers are primarily concerned with equality of labour market opportunity and treatment in the workplace. Many countries broadly adhere to this objective in the economic and social spheres, ratifying ILO Conventions and doing their best to fulfil the obligations deriving from them. One might expect, therefore, that discrimination would no longer be part of the legislation or practices of these countries. Unfortunately, a great deal of circumstantial evidence suggests that this assumption does not hold in certain respects, within both private and public enterprises. Reports of unequal treatment towards ethnic minorities have generated a need for constant monitoring and advocacy within the field of non-discrimination and equal opportunities.

---

2.1 Legal and institutional framework for combating discrimination in Sweden

Protection against unequal treatment can be found at both constitutional and legislative levels in Sweden. The European Convention on Human Rights has also been incorporated into national legislation.

In labour law there are four laws that ban discrimination on the grounds of ethnicity and religion, as well as other beliefs, gender, disability and sexual orientation. There is also a law from 2001 that prohibits discrimination in higher education on the same grounds. Furthermore, there is a criminal law provision that bans unlawful discrimination by merchants on the grounds of ethnicity (and homosexual orientation) in regards to the provision of goods and services.

As a result of the EU directives against discrimination, various amendments to some of the existing laws and a new civil law went into effect on 1 July 2003. The new law, The Prohibition of Discrimination Act (2003:307), prohibits discrimination related to ethnic origin, religion and other beliefs, sexual orientation and disability in regards to labour market programmes; starting or running a business; occupational activity; membership of, participation in and benefits from organisations of workers or employers or professional organisations; and goods, services and housing. The prohibition of discrimination on grounds of ethnic origin or religion or other beliefs also applies to social services; local and national transport; services for disabled people and housing adaptation allowances; social insurance and related transfer systems; unemployment insurance; as well as health and medical services. The powers of the Swedish anti-discrimination ombudsmen were expanded correspondingly.

The adoption of the new civil law, as well as various amendments in other laws—particularly those covering discrimination in working life—means that Sweden has largely complied with the requirements of the EC anti-discrimination directives. A parliamentary committee has recently proposed consolidated legislation covering all or most discrimination grounds and areas of society. Consolidation of the anti-discrimination ombudsmen is also proposed. The Committee also examined how to implement the EC Directive’s provisions on age discrimination.

2.1.1 Main principles and definitions in the legislative framework

As indicated in Section One, Sweden has a number of different laws intended to counteract discrimination. The civil laws, regardless of the discrimination ground at issue, cover both direct and indirect discrimination. In addition, harassment, instructions to discriminate and victimisation are defined and prohibited.

In regard to exceptions, it should be noted that the ban against direct discrimination does not apply in regard to employment, promotion or training for promotion if a specific ethnic background, religion or other belief, sexual orientation or specific disability is necessary due to the nature of the work, or the situation in which the work is being carried out.

---

3 Direct discrimination occurs when rules and practices explicitly exclude or give preference to certain individuals just because they belong to a particular group. These forms of discrimination are rooted in prejudices and biased perceptions of the abilities or work ethics of such individuals, irrespective of their skills and work experience. (Sheppard, 1989) Indirect discrimination occurs when norms and procedures -whether written or verbal- that appear to be neutral, e.g. do not have any specific requirements in terms of sex, race or age, end up affecting disproportionately members of groups of a particular sex, race or age.
In addition, the 2003 law’s provisions banning discrimination on the grounds of ethnicity, religion or other beliefs, sexual orientation and disability in labour market activities (non-employment related training, etc.) specifically state that the prohibition does not apply to the application of “positive action” measures that are intended to promote equal opportunities regardless of ethnicity.

The broad protection related to ethnicity can be traced specifically to the requirements of the EC Race and Ethnicity Directive. It has been an idiosyncrasy of Swedish law that discrimination due to religion has long been associated with ethnicity. This has passed into the 2003 laws, though discrimination on religious grounds is also now clearly specified in its own terms.

As indicated above, Swedish law is essentially in compliance with both of the EC anti-discrimination directives. One major area of importance that had not been covered by the anti-discrimination legislation is primary, secondary and vocational education. However, on the 1st of April 2006, a new Act came into force, the Act Prohibiting Discrimination and Other Degrading Treatment of Children and School Students (2006:67). The intention of the Act is to promote the equal rights of children and pupils in order to combat discrimination on the grounds of sex, ethnic origin, religion or other beliefs, sexual orientation and disability.4

2.1.2 Equality bodies and institutional framework

Four ombudsmen are responsible for supervising the application of labour and civil anti-discrimination laws, as well as monitoring compliance. They are the Equal Opportunities Ombudsman (JämO - gender equality); the Ombudsman against Ethnic Discrimination (DO); the Ombudsman against Discrimination due to Sexual Orientation (HomO); and the Disability Ombudsman (HO). Their mandate varies somewhat, but is generally centered on work life issues. In addition they have a common mandate in regards to higher education. The 2003 law expanded their respective obligations in a variety of ways. Finally, the Swedish Integration Board is charged with examining and counteracting ethnic discrimination at a structural/institutional level.

The Ombudsman against ethnic discrimination (DO) was set up in 1986. The office investigates complaints and, as a final resort, has the right to represent the complainant in the labour court. The DO is also supposed to ensure that employers actively strive to promote equal rights and opportunities in the workplace. As a result of the 2003 law, the office expanded in terms of personnel and caseload. In 2005, 34 people were working at the office.5

The Discrimination Board is a special body that can hear certain appeals involving discrimination cases. Created by the Anti-Discrimination Act of 1999 (1999:130), the Board handles appeals of fines that have been imposed by the ombudsmen. At the request of the Ombudsmen, the Board decides these cases with the aim of ensuring that employers follow their obligations to promote equal rights and opportunities regardless of ethnicity or religion or other beliefs. The Board’s decisions are not subject to appeal.

2.1.3 Enforcement and application of the law

In terms of criminal anti-discrimination law, the police and prosecutors handle complaints as part of the ordinary penal process. In civil situations, victims of discrimination can either

---

5 The Ombudsman against Ethnic Discrimination, Årsredovisning 2005.
submit their cases to the Ombudsman against ethnic discrimination (DO) or file independent lawsuits. In addition, cases related to working life can be brought on behalf of the victim by a trade union. In fact, unions are supposed to be the primary source of advice and representation in labour law cases.

Other than unions and the ombudsmen, there are not really any non-governmental organisations that today support victims in filing their complaints. However, there are an increasing number of government local anti-discrimination bureaus that provide advice to victims. Assuming the victim has agreed, organisations can support such complaints and act on behalf of the victim. As with much Swedish legislation, there is not much in the way of case law concerning ethnic discrimination. However, in 2002, the DO won a case in which the 1999 Anti-Discrimination Act was fully examined by the Labour Court for the first time. This should lend credence to the idea that legal advocacy can be an effective anti-discrimination strategy. The increasing number of voluntary settlements in favour of victims has also indicated this.

On December 4, 2002, the first Labour Court judgement was issued dealing directly with the 1999 measures against ethnic discrimination in working life. The court agreed with the DO claim that the employer violated the law by placing demands that were too high in regard to the need for perfect Swedish language skills in relation to a job as a telephone interviewer. It is significant that the case was decided in favour of the victim and that it involved language skills. It appears that onerous requirements regarding Swedish fluency have frequently been used as a screening mechanism during hiring. Perhaps this case will convince employers to seriously assess the skill levels related to the job in order to apply them on a case-by-case basis to individual job applicants.

Concerning evidentiary standards, the following wording was introduced into the 2003 Act: “If a person who feels that he/she has been discriminated against or exposed to reprisals shows that the circumstances give reason to presume that he or she has been discriminated against or exposed to reprisals, the respondent shall show that discrimination or reprisals have not occurred.” In other words, the employer bears the burden of proof. This conforms to burden of proof standard set in the EU “Race Directive” of 2000 referred to above. In Sweden, this rule has applied to the practice of labour law cases for a number of years. Judging from an increase in the number of complaints submitted to the DO, there seems to be a growing awareness of the law against discrimination in working life. This may be partially due to a greater effort among unions towards increasing their members’ awareness of anti-discrimination laws. As for the new law, it is too early to determine the effectiveness of its civil provisions. However, the related penal code on unlawful discrimination has been highly ineffective. Essentially, convictions have been obtained only when the perpetrator confesses.

In regards to sanctions, damages under Swedish law are relatively small in comparison with Canada, the UK and the US. A fine of SEK 80 000 (about € 8,700) would hardly deter a large employer. However, combined with the threat of publicity, they may have some effect. This may partially account for the increasing number of settlements reached by DO on behalf of its plaintiffs. Whereas a court can only award damages, a settlement can lead to the victim getting the job he or she applied for, as well as other work-related benefits. While sanctions are increasingly being applied, other related factors may also come into play in addressing discrimination, such as the introduction of “contract compliance” clauses in public contracts for goods or services that require anti-discrimination policy and practices by suppliers. This can be an important complement to the law, particularly if the public authority has retained the right to cancel the contract if discrimination is found to occur.
The workload for the Ombudsman against Ethnic Discrimination (DO) is continuing to increase. In 2005, the office received 888 complaints that amounted to a 12% increase over previous year\(^6\). Of these cases, 334 were complaints concerning working life, while 554 related to other fields of society. In 2005, 326 work-related cases were closed, 30% without investigation. Reasons cited included prior resolution or inadequate information from the complainant. Often, a trade union had taken over the handling of the case. On the other hand, of the 208 cases that were investigated in 2005, 15 cases were resolved by reaching settlements, 27 situations were otherwise resolved, and 3 cases led to a Labour Court judgement. In 163 cases the DO came to the conclusion that there was no ethnic discrimination involved.

2.1.4 Other issues and current developments

In the beginning of 2006, a new national action plan for human rights was presented by the Swedish government\(^7\). The action plan involves several areas within the human rights spectrum, including an agenda to combat discrimination and racism from 2006 to 2009.

In the beginning of 2006, the Parliamentary discrimination committee proposed a new comprehensive law against discrimination on the grounds of sex, ethnicity, religious belief, sexual orientation and disability. According to the national action plan, a proposal will be prepared which will go into effect no later than 2008. The discrimination committee also proposes the merger of the four ombudsmen into one authority with the duty of monitoring all grounds of discrimination.

The action plan further directs certain state authorities to establish an anti-discrimination strategy. The strategies should be adjusted to the authorities’ different activities and ensure continuous, long-term coverage of all discrimination grounds. The starting point of this project should be internal, e.g., starting with the discrimination that may be taking place within each respective authority. This work should further include agency clients and discriminatory structures of organization and service delivery.

The national action plan will also require some of the major state authorities to introduce anti-discrimination clauses into their public procurement contracts. The cooperation between the four different ombudsmen and the anti-discrimination bureaus is to be developed further. Furthermore, there are plans to conduct situation tests, such as those utilized in this study, in order to substantiate cases reported to the different ombudsmen. The Ombudsman against Ethnic Discrimination has already looked into this matter but has suggested in a report to the government that further inquiry is needed. The national action plan on human rights has also indicated a need to apply such tests to other areas of social life.

The plan also includes introduction of activities to combat structural discrimination\(^8\) on the basis of proposals made by the governmental Inquiry on Power, Integration and Structural Discrimination.

---

\(^6\) Ombudsman against Ethnic Discrimination. Årsredovisning 2005.


\(^8\) Structural discrimination refers to discrimination inherent to or institutionalised in social patterns, institutional structures and legal constructs that reflect and reproduce discriminatory practices and outcomes.
3. General overview of immigrants situation on the labour market in Sweden

A significant proportion of the population living in Sweden was born abroad; in 2005, more than 12% was foreign born. Among those of working age (16-64), 15% was born in a country other than Sweden. In an international context, this means that the proportion of foreign born is larger in the Swedish labour market than in countries such as the UK and France. Furthermore, Sweden has a larger proportion of refugees in its foreign-born population than most other European countries, as well as a comparatively high proportion of foreigners with tertiary education. The foreign born population in Sweden consists of approximately three major groups:

- the labour migrants of the 1950s and 1960s, predominantly from Finland, Southern Europe and Turkey
- the refugees from the 1970s and onwards, from countries in Latin America, the Middle East, Africa and most recently from the former Yugoslavia.
- people moving within the free labour markets of the Nordic countries and the EU.

Because the foreign-born population stems from different “immigration waves”, average length of residency in Sweden differs considerably according to region of birth.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Residence:</th>
<th>Nordic</th>
<th>Other EU15</th>
<th>Other Europe</th>
<th>Africa</th>
<th>Asia</th>
<th>Latin America</th>
<th>USA</th>
<th>Australia and NZ</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0–4 years</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>21.2</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>38.3</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5–9 years</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>25.4</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>41.6</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10–19 years</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>37.6</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 or more</td>
<td>41.3</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>17.2</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>20.1</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total number of persons</td>
<td>187,049</td>
<td>71,533</td>
<td>210,283</td>
<td>59,546</td>
<td>273,458</td>
<td>56,869</td>
<td>15,702</td>
<td>874,440</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%</td>
<td>21.4</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>24.0</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>31.3</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: STATIV, database at the Swedish Integration Board.

As can be seen in Table 1, almost one quarter of the foreign-born population originated in other Nordic countries, another quarter in non-EU European countries and almost one third in Asia and the Middle East. Foreign born with very long residence in Sweden predominantly came from other Nordic counties (almost 50%), while those with short duration of residence mainly come from countries in Asia (almost 40%). Of the more than 220,000 persons who have migrated to Sweden in the last five years (2001-2005), almost 40% were born in Asia and the Middle East. More than 25,000 of them were fleeing Iraq.
The target group of this research were Swedish-born youth with a Middle Eastern background. This is quite a large group; more than half of the immigrants from Asia and West Asia (almost 150 000) were born in countries in the Middle East. Only 15% of the immigrants from the Middle East have been in Sweden for 20 years or more, which means that their Swedish-born children are still relatively young. However, the number of second-generation youth of working age will increase substantially in the future.

### 3.1 Immigrants participation in the labour market

The Swedish labour market has undergone dramatic changes in the last few decades. Until the end of the 1980s, Sweden was a country with very low unemployment rates. At its peak, 83% of the population aged 16 to 64 was employed, and unemployment was down to just 1.5% of the labour force. This changed drastically at the beginning of the 1990s, when Sweden experienced its most severe labour market crisis since the 1930s. Employment fell to around 70% and unemployment rose to almost 8% by the mid-1990s. The employment situation has recovered since then, but without reaching the peaks recorded at the end of the 1980s.

**Figure 1** Employment index, foreign born compared to native born, 16-64 years old.


The trend in relative employment rate of foreign born is illustrated by a simple index in Figure 1. By combining the results of a research report (Ekberg & Hammarstedt, 2002) with Labour Force Surveys, it has been possible to construct an index\(^9\) covering the entire period since 1950. All index values lower than 100 mean that the employment rate is lower for foreign-born than for native-born Swedes.

Until the middle of the 1970s, the employment rates of the foreign-born Swedes were somewhat higher than those of the native-born Swedes (the index in Figure 1 has a value higher

---

\(^9\) The index is the employment rate of foreign born divided by the employment rate of native born multiplied by 100.
than 100). This relation, however, changed in the mid-1970s. Since then, the employment rate of the entire foreign-born population has been lower than for the native–born population, indicating a continuously widening “employment gap.” This widening gap may have ceased in the mid-1990s. It is, however, too early to ascertain whether this break represents a shift in a deteriorating trend or just reflects fluctuations in the business cycle.

3.1.1 Employment rates according to region of birth and duration of residence

The relative employment rate of people born abroad is a very crude measure of labour market conditions. Employment and unemployment rates differ considerably according to both duration of residence in Sweden and region of birth. Nevertheless, calculating employment indexes for people born outside of Sweden who have spent differing periods of time in Sweden reveals some typical traits:

- Native-born Swedes have higher employment rates than all groups of foreign-born people. Not even those who have spent 20 years or more in Sweden have the same employment rates as natives.
- This employment gap between native- and foreign-born individuals decreases relative to length of residence in Sweden.
- The time trend differs considerably between foreign-born individuals with long and short durations of residence.
- Foreign-born individuals who have been in Sweden for ten years or more have a slightly declining employment rate compared to the native-born Swedes.
- Those with shorter residence in Sweden are more affected by fluctuations in the business cycle than natives.

This pattern is valid for men as well as for women. However, the differences between natives and newly arrived immigrants are more extreme in the case of women. The general pattern remains when we use statistical methods controlling for differences in age, education and marital status.

![Figure 2 Employment rates, foreign born, according to region of birth and duration of residence, 16-64 years old, 2003 (%)](image)

Source: STATIV, database at the Swedish Integration Board.
Figure 2 illustrates that, irrespective of the individual’s duration of residence, the labour market situation also differs according to region of birth. Closest to the employment rates of natives are those born in other Nordic countries, followed by other EU15 countries. The largest “employment gap” is found for people from countries in Africa, Asia and the Middle East, especially those who have spent a short period of time in Sweden. In general, men have higher employment rates than women, but women from Nordic and African countries who have resided in Sweden for an extended period of time are an exception to this gender pattern. The pattern remains using statistical methods comparing persons with the same age, education and marital status.

3.1.2 Type of job

The severe employment crisis in the beginning of the 1990s hit the manufacturing sector most severely. At the end of the 1980s, the manufacturing sector employed almost 30% of all native-born men and more than 40% of foreign-born men. This share has since been continuously declining, especially for people born abroad. In 2004, less than a quarter of employed men (native or foreign born) worked in the manufacturing sector. The same decline applies to women, although on a smaller scale. This decline has been partially compensated for by a growth in the private and public service sectors.

Thus, foreign-born men and women are no longer over-represented in manufacturing. On the contrary, the 2004 Labour Market Survey found the largest numbers of foreign-born employees working in the “Hotel & Restaurant”, “Other private services”, and “Health Care” sectors.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sector</th>
<th>Women Native born</th>
<th>Women Foreign born</th>
<th>Men Native born</th>
<th>Men Foreign born</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Manufacturing</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>23.7</td>
<td>24.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trade</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>13.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hotel &amp; Restaurant</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>8.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bank &amp; Insurance</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other private service</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>11.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Government, education, research</td>
<td>25.6</td>
<td>21.6</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>10.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health &amp; Care</td>
<td>27.8</td>
<td>30.9</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>7.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>17.0</td>
<td>14.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>100</strong></td>
<td><strong>100</strong></td>
<td><strong>100</strong></td>
<td><strong>100</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Recent surveys further suggest that foreign-born workers are more likely to have “atypical jobs”, i.e. temporary and part-time jobs. There is, however, one notable exception to this pat-
tern: employed foreign-born women are more likely to work full-time than native born women.

3.1.3 Wages

There are several studies on income and wage differentials between foreign-born workers and natives. The overall picture is the same as has been shown through data on employment. Up to the middle of the 1970s, migrants and natives had comparable incomes. Later, hourly wages and annual incomes of foreign-born workers decreased compared to those of natives. This wage gap decreases relative to length of residence, but still exists even for those who have been in Sweden for 20 years or more. Irrespective of personal history and education level, the income gaps are largest for people born in countries outside Europe. These differentials in annual income are largely explained by the more tentative “labour-market attachment” of foreign-born workers, i.e. lower employment levels and, when employed, higher probability of atypical jobs (Edin & Åslund 2001, Le Grand & Szulkin 2002, Nekby 2003, Rashid 2004).
4. **The target group – young people and the labour market**

At the target age for this study, most young people have recently finished their upper secondary education, and are either entering the labour market or continuing their education at the university level. Many of them choose to support their education by interrupting their studies with periods of time in the labour market. This means that there is no evident measure of labour market involvement. That the employment rate is low does not necessarily imply failure, as the explanation could be a high proportion studying at university. The unemployment rate gives a better picture, as it shows the proportion of young people in the labour force that are unsuccessfully looking for a job\(^\text{10}\).

![Figure 3 Unemployed percentage of the labour force, 20-24 and 25-54 years old.](image)

Unemployment has always hit young people harder than adults. The severity of the effects of unemployment on young people has however been increasing with time. Figure 3 also shows that the youth unemployment rate is more sensitive to fluctuations in the business cycle than the unemployment rate of adults. When the economy is slowing down, the youth unemployment rate increases faster than the unemployment rate of adults. When the testing was performed in the beginning of 2006, the unemployment rate of young people had been sharply...

---

\(^{10}\) The unemployment rate is calculated by dividing the number of unemployed by the number of people in the labour force (labour force = unemployed + employed). The Swedish national definition of unemployed differs from the standard ILO definition used by the OECD and the EU; the ILO definition includes full-time students looking for a job, whereas the Swedish definition does not.
increasing for five years and was almost three times as high as the rate for adults. This means that the young men and women in the project were trying to find jobs on a very constrained labour market.

4.1.1 The Swedish youth labour market in international comparison

Comparing the situation of youth in the labour market in different countries is difficult. There are several statistical pitfalls because countries differ in the way they define employment and unemployment. Using the international ILO definition of unemployment means that participants in most Swedish youth labour market programmes are included among the unemployed, while in other countries young people in similar programmes could be included among the employed. This problem is general in international labour market statistics, but of particular importance in the case of youth, where many countries have extensive labour market programmes targeted at young people. The international figures in this section thus have to be interpreted with great care.

To compare the situation of youth in different countries, the OECD uses an ‘inactivity’ measure, i.e. the proportion of young people that are neither employed nor studying. The latest available figures are from 2003, when the inactivity rate in Sweden was almost 12% for the group aged 20-24. Although this is a high proportion of young people who are neither studying nor working, Sweden seems to have a relatively favourable position compared to other OECD countries (Figure 4).

Comparing the unemployment rates, however, gives an idea of the vulnerable position of Swedish youth. With an unemployment rate of about 17.5% in 2005, Sweden is above the EU15 average, which lies around 15% (Figure 5). This impression is reinforced by comparing youth and adult unemployment rates. Unemployment seems to hit young people more severely than adults in Sweden than in most other OECD countries.
Figure 4  Proportion of young people in the age group 20-24 who were neither studying nor employed, 2003 (%):

Source: OECD (2006)

Figure 5  Unemployment rates for the age group 20-24, EU15 countries, period 2003-2005

Source: OECD Statistical database, compilations by Ramböll Management. ILO-definition of unemployment.
4.1.2 Previous research on the labour market situation

4.1.2.1 The labour market situation of native youth with a foreign background

Several studies have been conducted on the labour market status of the children of immigrants. Some of them refer to the entire group of native-born people with parents born abroad. Swedish-born “natives” with parents born in non-European countries are relatively young, which means that the results from these studies are relevant for young natives with a non-European background. Research on young people with a foreign background often includes native youth as well as those who immigrated into Sweden before the age of seven (which is the age at which children start school in Sweden). In most cases it is, however, possible to distinguish young natives with a foreign background from those who have themselves immigrated.

The earliest of these studies (Ekberg 1997) found only minor employment and unemployment differentials between young natives with a Swedish and a foreign background, but there were indications that youth with a non-European background were beginning to face problems in the labour market. These indications have been confirmed in several later studies, which all find that native youth with a non-European background have lower employment, higher unemployment and/or lower wages than other young people (Österberg 2000, Lund et al. 2002, Arai et al. 2002, Ekberg & Rooth 2003, Vilhemsson 2002, Hammarstedt 2002, Hammarstedt & Palme 2006, Behtoui 2004, Nilsson 2004). All studies except Hammarstedt (2002) and Hammarstedt & Palme (2006) use data that makes it possible to compare young native people with the same level of education. Most studies include information on the parents’ education level and/or socio-economic status. In two of the studies (Arai et al. 2002 and Vilhemsson 2002), it is moreover possible to compare young people with the same grades in school in the Swedish language.

Two recent studies (Behtoui 2006 and Nekby & Özcan 2006) use longitudinal data to follow young people for 10 years from the year they left upper secondary school. The differences in employment and wages tend to decrease with time, but still exist after ten years, especially for young people with a non-European background. It also seems that these labour market differences are greater for young men than for young women.

Some conclusions can be drawn from these studies. One is that the greatest obstacle on the labour market is to get a job. Wage differences exist among those who have managed to get a job, but are of lesser importance than employment differentials.

Most of these studies conclude that discrimination is a very probable explanation for the labour market differences observed between native young people with and without a foreign background. These results point to the importance of learning more about what is happening in the recruitment process. There is reason to suspect that discrimination may be preventing young people with a foreign background from realizing their ambitions and from contributing their qualities and abilities on the Swedish labour market.

---

11 For a more extensive presentation of Swedish studies on ethnic wage and employment differences and the role of discrimination, see Schröder (2007).
12 Also these two studies have data on educational level and grades from school.
Nekby & Özcan (2006) found that these ethnic labour market differences exist only among young people with a relatively low (less than tertiary) education. Similarly, Rooth and Åslund (2006) have found that education pays in terms of higher employment and higher incomes. Their study, however, only considers immigrants in comparison with natives.

4.1.3 Other previous studies on discrimination

Studies on the labour market situation of native youth with and without a foreign background have played an important role in convincing the majority population that discrimination is a serious obstacle. There are a few other studies that have addressed discrimination from other angles and with different type of data. One such study is Rooth (2002) who uses data on people who were born abroad and adopted as infants by Swedish parents. This study shows that adopted children who don’t “look European” are at a greater risk of unemployment than those who do.

Arai & Skogman Thoursie (2006) have compared earning promotion for immigrants who changed their foreign-sounding surnames to Swedish-sounding or neutral surnames with immigrants that kept their original names. In the period before the change of name, namekeepers and the future name-changers had the same yearly earnings. For immigrants with surnames that sounded African, Asian or Slavic, the change of surname was followed by a steeper increase in earnings than for those who kept their foreign-sounding surnames. The earnings gain corresponds to an average of about 15%, and is highest for people with a relatively low annual income.

Two field experiments were initiated in Sweden in 2006 using correspondence testing as a method to study discrimination in the labour market. Results were available from only one of these studies (Carlsson & Rooth 2006) when this report was prepared. Pairs of equally productive men, 25 to 30 years old, sent written job applications for the same vacancy. One of the applicants had an Arabic-sounding name and the other a Swedish-sounding name. In total, more than 3,000 applications were sent to more than 1,500 employers. Depending on how mutual no-response data is treated, the net discrimination rate against job seekers with Arabic-sounding names varied between 10 and 29% This study also found that the net discrimination rate is largest in relatively unskilled jobs.

13 The other experiment was headed by le Grand et al. Department of Sociology, Stockholm University.
5. Methodology of the study

The ILO has sponsored research since the mid-1990s to measure occurrence of discrimination in foreign-born and minority access to employment in Belgium, Germany, the Netherlands, Spain, and Italy. Each of these studies utilized the methodology elaborated by Prof. Frank Bovenkerk to document labour market discrimination. This methodology details how to collect statistically significant data and reduce confounding variables in order to isolate discrimination from other labour market conditions. During 2006, testing was conducted simultaneously in France and Sweden, thereby creating a unique basis for exchange of knowledge during the testing process and for interpretation of results.

Practice Tests or Situation Testing generally involves coordinating pairs of job candidates, who are matched save for a single variable, usually ethnicity. One majority and one minority tester applies for the same position, and their respective experiences and results are carefully monitored. Employment applicant profiles and CVs for each member of the pair are matched at equal levels of qualifications, educational attainment and job experience.

The European studies have targeted legally resident migrants or their citizen children who have some experience in the receiving country’s labour market and who would be applying to the same job openings as national workers. In Sweden it was decided that the testing variable would be young native Swedes with a Middle-Eastern origin. By choosing a target group which has been born in the country, undergone the same schooling, and acquired the same language level, researchers minimized the influence of other factors which might influence hiring decisions. All testers and project supervisors are thoroughly trained and supported throughout this process, and confidentiality is rigorously maintained.

The methodology establishes that a minimum of 175 “valid and usable” tests of employment vacancies must be conducted are in each labour market area and for each variable in order to arrive at statistically valid conclusions with respect to the occurrence of discrimination. A considerably higher number of vacancies are usually tested in order to obtain this minimum.

The standard testing procedure is comprised of the following steps:

1. **Voice inquiry:** Testers call to inquire about the continuing availability of the position. Testing “pairs” are trained to match their personal styles, such as level of assertiveness. The critical event in Step 1 is the invitation to apply for the job.

2. **Written application:** Testers submit formal applications for the job. Testers prepare carefully to ensure consistent responses when companies call back for an interview. The critical event is the invitation to Step 3.

3. **Job interview:** Testers engage in face-to-face interaction with the prospective employer. It is essential that the pair of testers make similar first impressions, since research indicates that first impressions matter a great deal. Physical appearances and dress are closely matched to ensure that ethnic background is the only marker of distinction. The critical event is the offer of employment.

There are four outcome options:
• Neither is offered a job
• The minority tester alone is offered a job
• The majority tester alone is offered a job
• Both are offered jobs. They may not necessarily be offered equal jobs, and such differences in treatment are also recorded.

The individual case is discontinued as soon as the firm declares a preference for one tester over the other. This could take place at any one of the three steps, but has most frequently occurred at Step 1. In each step there is ample opportunity for the employer to discourage one member of the testing pair to advance over the other to the next step or to employment. Such differences in treatment are recorded by the researchers.

The actual identity of the tester, as well as the employer being tested, is kept confidential at all times during testing. The aim of the study is not to single out discriminatory practices among employers or individuals, but to statistically measure the direct discrimination taking place, consciously or unconsciously, in the employment situation. Therefore, cases and results are recorded anonymously, so as not to accidentally reveal the identity of either the testers or the employers. Consequently, results and qualitative data in this report are presented in such a way that single cases cannot be identified.

When appropriate job opportunities are identified, the two testers prepare the test by reading the advertisements and, if needed, looked up more information about the workplace on the Internet.

While one tester makes a phone call, the other tester usually waits in another room, unable to hear the conversation. The first phone call was always made by the minority tester. Every phone call was recorded and supervised by the city supervisor. The general interval between the two phone calls was ten minutes.

If contact fails for both of the testers, the advertisement may be reused on another testing occasion. In cases where one but not the other tester gets in contact with the potential employer, the tests are considered invalid.

If both testers are told that the vacancy was already filled, the test is considered valid but not usable. If, on the other hand, both or one of the testers are invited to proceed, by either sending in a written application or come for an interview, the test is considered valid and usable.

Thus the following terminology is applied to the cases;

A. Invalid – One or both testers failed to make contact with the employer

B. Valid but unusable – Both testers rejected at the first stage, or test not possible to pursue due to different reasons

C. Valid and usable – One or both testers were asked to send in their CV, i.e. invited to continue the employment process

In the second stage there are four possible outcomes: neither of the testers is invited to an interview; only the majority tester or only the minority tester is invited; or both are invited to proceed. In the first three cases, the process is then discontinued. If both testers are invited, the testing proceeds to the third stage.
The third stage, invitation to an interview, is usually either the result of sending in a written application, or a direct invitation at the first phone call with the employer. When a tester is invited to an interview he or she always agrees upon a time for the interview with the potential employer. If it turned out that only one of the testers had been invited, the interview is cancelled after it becomes evident that both would not be invited.

When both testers are invited to an interview, the city supervisor usually accompanies the testers to the place of the interview and waits outside. The testers write a brief report of the interview afterwards, containing information about how the tester was received by the employer and what had been said and done during the interview. If the testers are unable to go to the interview if, for example, the meeting point or worksite is excessively distant, the case may be aborted and considered not valid. When the testers are invited to trial work in place of or in combination with an interview, the tests are considered valid and usable, and are completed.

When both testers actually go for the interview, there are usually three possible outcomes. Either the job is offered to neither of the testers, or the job was offered to the majority or the minority tester only. However, occasionally, both can receive job offers.

All information for each test is kept in a file. This normally contains a copy of each advertisement, a copy of both testers’ respective applications for the job, information about the date and time of call, who comprised the pair of testers, the outcome of each phase, and a series of remarks for use in reconstructing and analyzing what happened. At the end of the test, the positive or negative outcome for each test is noted. These files always remain confidential.

5.1 Application of the methodology in Sweden

As this methodology was applied in Sweden, two female and two male pairs of testers worked in each of the three cities, Stockholm, Malmö and Gothenburg. A minimum of 175 tests per gender were obtained in each city, amounting to 350 tests per city.

When approximately half of the tests had been conducted, the pairs rotated, meaning that both minority testers had worked together with both majority testers by the end of the testing period. The reason for this was to eliminate possible variables that had more with to do with the tester’s characteristics than with their national background.

In all three cities, low-skilled and semi-skilled occupations were targeted, sectors where persons of both immigrant and Swedish ancestry usually apply for employment. The testing was further targeted to gender-specific sectors to avoid extra variables, as presented in the following table.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Women</th>
<th>Men</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hotel and Restaurant</td>
<td>Hotel and Restaurant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retail and Trade</td>
<td>Retail and Trade</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office and Clerical</td>
<td>Transportation and warehousing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Healthcare services</td>
<td>Manufacturing</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A focus on the two main sectors of employment for each gender was based on an assessment of current demand in each city for low- and semi-skilled workers. This was the mechanism for acquiring sufficient valid test cases within each sector (175). For females, it was decided
to additionally focus on the sectors *office-clerical work* and/or *health-care service*, depending on each city profile. For males, additional sectors included *transportation-warehousing* and/or *manufacturing*. These sectors were also selected to correspond with the project being simultaneously conducted in France, thereby enabling comparisons across sectors in the countries.\(^{14}\)

In identifying job advertisements in Sweden, there were instances where no phone number was given, or where it said explicitly that the employer wanted only written applications. In such cases, the phone call was skipped in the first stage and the testers sent in their CV directly instead.

At the phone call stage as well, most of the potential employers asked the testers to send in their CV. In quite a few cases though, especially within the hotel-restaurant and retail commercial sectors, the testers were asked to come for an interview without sending in a written application first. If this applied to both testers, these cases were considered valid. In some cases one of the testers was invited to an interview, while the other tester was asked to send in a CV. These tests were considered valid, usable and completed, since the testers were treated differently.

If both testers were asked to send in a written application, this was done the same day as the telephone call took place. Before sending the CVs, these were adjusted to meet the demands of the potential employer, and approved by the city supervisor. Sometimes the testers were asked to fill in an application form via the Internet. When the applicant’s social security number was required, which often was the case with these forms, the application was completed and the test considered valid but not usable, as following up would risk the anonymity of the testing.

In cases where testers were invited to an interview or meeting, the employer responded by sending an e-mail or by calling the tester’s mobile phone. When testers were not invited to continue, they either received a rejection via e-mail or letter. Most of the time however, the testers did not get a response if they were rejected. Some of the rejections by mail came months after the testing period was completed.

In some cases in Sweden, the interview did not take place because documents were asked for or other requirements were imposed, or the testers were not able to attend because of lack of time or the interview site was excessively distant. In these cases the tests were aborted and considered not valid.

Regarding job offers, it also happened that both testers were offered the job in the retail commercial sector. Sometimes one tester was offered the job after the other one had already turned down the job, which was then considered a non-usable test.

### 5.1.1 Recruiting and training of testers

For each of the three cities, the respective city supervisor was responsible for identifying and selecting the testers and managing their work. Because the tester recruiting and timeframe was somewhat different in the pilot city of Gothenburg, this process is presented first, followed by those for Malmö and Stockholm.

---

\(^{14}\) Due to the parallel nature of the studies in France and Sweden, these comparisons were not available at the time of writing this report, wherefore such analysis will need to be undertaken at a later stage.
Once Gothenburg was selected as the pilot city for the test, the recruiting process began in November 2005. Applicants were solicited by e-mail to former and actual students of Gothenburg University. A focus was made on departments expected to have significant numbers of students with Middle Eastern backgrounds. Some 25 students then attended a meeting to explain the project. During the meeting, interviews took place with the applicants. The city supervisor and the method supervisor then evaluated the interviews and decided to offer four of the women jobs as testers. The selected women began their training in late November. Following another call for testers in early December, four men were chosen to begin testing by the middle of that month. All of the testers were fluent Swedish speakers.

As part of their training, the testers were given a thorough presentation of the aims and methods of the project, as well as one day of role playing. Once they had practiced their skills by responding to real job advertisements, they designed their “tester identity” and were taught to prepare job-relevant CVs. Working in pairs, they presented themselves with equivalent education and labour market experience, etc. The only relevant difference was their ethnic background.

Each tester was supplied with a mobile phone with a cash card, an e-mail address, and a “care of” (c/o) mailing address, so that they could receive written responses to job applications. Should an explanation for this become necessary, they would claim to be temporarily living with friends. Their CVs reflected this alibi as well.

The female testers started their work with real applications in mid-November. After a few weeks as Christmas holidays approached, this testing was suspended due to the scarcity of suitable job offers, to start up again after the holidays.

The male testing started the second half of January 2006. Later, departure of a female tester and two male testers for better employment required new recruitment of testers or finalizing the female testing with one minority tester. As a result and also of need to start considerably more tests than anticipated to obtain the required number of valid and usable cases, the researchers were only able to finalize the Gothenburg testing in early June 2006.

The selection and recruiting of testers in Malmö and Stockholm began in January 2006. In Stockholm the testers were recruited from Södertörn University College (Södertörens högskola), located in a suburb of southern Stockholm. Information meetings were held separately for male and female students on four different occasions. Brief interviews were carried out with each and every one of them during the meetings. On the basis of the interviews, four male and four female testers with similar characteristics were finally found.

In Malmö the testers were recruited both from Malmö University and Lund University. The city supervisor arranged one information meeting for women and two for men due to difficulties in finding matching male testers, both majority and minority. Interviews were carried out with the participants, and four female and four male testers with similar characteristics were finally found.

All the testers, in Malmö and Stockholm, were university students. The minority testers were native Swedes with an immigrant background, with one or both parents born in a country in the Middle East. The minority testers all spoke Swedish at a level equivalent to their Swedish counterparts.
City supervisors then convened training meetings with the testers. Two female testers from Gothenburg participated at the meetings to share their experiences about the testing procedures.

Though the process went relatively more smoothly in Malmö and Stockholm, a few obstacles did arise. For example, after the introduction course in Malmö, one of the female testers was replaced due to a concern that she did not sufficiently “match” the others. In Stockholm, the two female majority testers decided not to continue to the end when it became clear that the period for the testing would be longer than originally planned. Thus, two new testers had to be recruiting when nearly half of the tests were already completed. Nevertheless, both male and female testing in both Malmö and Stockholm was completed within a three-month timeframe.

5.1.2 Selection of advertisements and openings

As substantial numbers of hotel-restaurant and retail commercial job listings are common to all three cities, these sectors were retained as priorities. For women, it was agreed to seek a similar number of valid and usable cases among either office-clerical work or health-care and similar services, depending on the city profiles.

In Gothenburg, female testers began by applying for health-care jobs and especially as “personal assistants”. These jobs were very common, and many of them were in the private sector. However, the frequency of reference requirements and the severity of patient needs led researchers to discontinue applications in this field. Retail jobs were also commonly advertised, particularly those in “call centers”. This work is characterized by high turnover due to poor working conditions, low salary and long hours. The other most common sector in Gothenburg was hotel and restaurant staffing.

Similar considerations ruled out health-care applications in Stockholm. Instead, the female testers focused only on hotel and restaurant, retail commercial and office-clerical jobs. In Malmö however, health care references were not required to the same extent which made it possible to continue applying for those jobs. Additionally the female testers in Malmö applied for some office-clerical jobs. For men, apart from hotel-restaurant and retail commercial jobs, priority was placed on transportation-warehousing in both Malmö and Stockholm.

Generally, job openings were selected by city supervisors before the testers started working. Occasionally though, the testers also located advertisements, under the oversight of the city supervisors. Advertisements among sectors were chosen based on the period of employment (not less than six months), and on the minimum salary offered in retail commercial jobs, which is often based on commission. It is common in Sweden for companies to contract professional staffing consultancies. Therefore, eligible advertisements were limited to those that referred to a specific job and a specific company or organization. Every available advertisement and opening fitting these criteria was applied for.

The Internet was used as the main channel for seeking job advertisements. The majority of all vacancies in Sweden are advertised via the Public Employment Service (Platsbanken) Internet site. Private employment services and daily newspapers were also used, mostly on the Internet.

In Stockholm, the female testers contacted 283 advertisements and the male testers 259, whereas the female testers in Malmö contacted 231 advertisements and the male testers 208. In Gothenburg, not all phone calls were documented due to an initial local methodological
misunderstanding. In general, only calls that resulted in some sort of contact were entered into the log. Paradoxically, many tester calls were never answered by employers, particularly at restaurants but even by larger companies that presumably have switchboards. When the advertised jobs seemed very suitable, repeated calls were made, though this delayed the process.

In all three cities it was sometimes difficult to find sufficient appropriate openings that could be applied for during the testers’ work hours. There were also variations in the frequency of advertisements, with more at the beginning of a week. The hardest times for finding work were in December and during the last few weeks of spring, when seasonal jobs predominated.

5.2 Internal validity of the testing

An important task in the testing analysis is to check results for any possible tester bias. The elimination of extraneous variables is important for all stages of testing, from the telephone call to an actual interview. A rotation of tester pairs is also built into the methodology in order to avoid the development of behavioral patterns between testers that might influence the overall results.

During the Swedish research, there were inconsistencies in the pairing of testers. Changes took place in two of the cities, Stockholm and Gothenburg. In Stockholm two new majority female testers were brought on board, and in total, 10 testers have been involved. In Gothenburg, both male minority testers left before finalizing the testing and one replacement was recruited. A considerable number of tests for both men and women had to be concluded with only one minority tester, with a total of 9 testers involved in the Gothenburg project.

These changes in tester pairings have made the analysis of internal validity all the more important, and also difficult. Ideally there should be no differences in outcomes, and when there are differences, they should be random. When members of some pairs do not conduct many tests together, the chances of random imbalances increase. The overall correlations between tester pair outcomes indicate that factors not accounted for may have been influencing the outcome of testing. As can be seen in the table below, there are clearly outcome differences between pairs in the different cities. However, to assess whether the differences are random or not, we need to look at the statistical significance of these potential biases.

5.2.1 Statistical test for tester bias

The internal validity test must be conducted as a one-sample chi-square test with as many degrees of freedom as there were tester pairs minus one (de Vaus 2002:231, 232). The table to be tested consists of only one column containing the number of net discrimination cases for each pair of testers. This is tested against a column containing the expected number of net discrimination cases for each pair.

The expected number $e$ for pair $k$ of sex $j$ in city $I$, is computed as

$$e_{ijk} = c_{ijk} \times (a_{ij} - b_{ij})/c_{ij}$$

where $c$ is the number of completed cases, $a$ is the number of cases with majority preference, and $b$ is the number of cases with minority preference. The term $(a-b)/c$ represents the net discrimination rate expressed as a fraction rather than as a percentage.
The formula for e contains the net discrimination rate for a given city and sex. This is a weighted net discrimination rate for which, in effect, each pair has entered as many times as it has completed a case. For our purposes, the use of an unweighted average of the pairs’ net discrimination rates might be preferable. This would simply mean computing the net discrimination rate for each pair and averaging over the total. The drawback of doing so is that the sum of the actual cases of net discrimination across the pairs would then differ from the sum of the expected cases. The chi-square test, in principle, assumes that the two sums are equal.

The test itself consists simply in computing \((a-b-e)^2/e\) for each pair and adding up the results for all pairs. The level of statistical significance can be found in a table of critical values for chi-square. The degrees of freedom are the number of pairs included in the test minus one. If four pairs were included in the test, any chi-square greater than 7.815 would indicate 95% likelihood that the expected values are really different from the actual values, i.e. that more than mere chance was involved in bringing about the differences between the pairs’ actual results. With five pairs included in the test a chi-square of 9.488, and with six pairs a chi-square of 11.070 signify the same. 99 percent likelihood is obtained above chi-square values of 11.341, 13.277, and 15.086, respectively.

A chi-square test should not be performed for a given city and sex if the expected values are too small. According to Erickson and Nosanchuk, “For 2 x 2 tables, the expected values in each cell should be 10 or more. For tables larger than 2 x 2, the mean of the expected values should be six or more for tests at the 5 per cent level” (1992:251). 2 x 2 tables in chi-square testing have one degree of freedom. This is where the minimum ten expected cases applies. The tables we face have only one column. In this situation, the one-sample test, the degrees of freedom are the number of rows minus one. Our tables have between 4 and 6 rows, with a minimum of three degrees of freedom. Therefore the mean six expected cases rule applies. In Sweden this could be met everywhere except among male tester pairs in Gothenburg. Most other literature suggests that expected cell values should be 5 or more. The Gothenburg male results fail this criterion, too.

The restriction on the required number of expected cases cannot be circumvented. There is no alternative but to perform the test on the difference between the completed and the net discrimination cases, rather than on the number of net discrimination cases. The result will not be the same.

Rather than testing the cases of net discrimination, it might be preferable to test the cases of majority preference and the cases of minority preference separately. However, there were not sufficient minority preference cases in Sweden to take this route. Finally, the statistical test has to be performed on the actual tester pairs. Personnel changes represent the constitution of new pairs.

The table below presents the relevant information for the chi-square test. The sum for each city and sex can be found in the subsequent table. Columns are as follows: Pair: The first letter is the majority tester, the second the minority tester; Completed: All the cases completed by a tester pair; Majority pref: Number of cases with majority preference; Minority pref: Number of cases with minority preference; Observed: Net discrimination, i.e. majority minus minority preference cases; Expected: Expected difference between majority and minority preference cases; Difference: Difference between observed and expected cases; Contribution to chi-square: Each pairs contribution to the total chi-square statistic for a city and sex.
Table 4 Chi-square test per pair, sex and city

Pair results for each city and sex, traditional net discrimination rate

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pair</th>
<th>Com-</th>
<th>Majo-</th>
<th>Mino-</th>
<th>Obs-</th>
<th>Exp-</th>
<th>Dif-</th>
<th>Contrib-</th>
<th>Com-</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ple-</td>
<td>rity</td>
<td>rity</td>
<td>er-</td>
<td>ec-</td>
<td>fer-</td>
<td>ution</td>
<td>ments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>cases</td>
<td>pref</td>
<td>pref</td>
<td>ved</td>
<td>cases</td>
<td>cases</td>
<td>to chi-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gb F</td>
<td>c</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>e</td>
<td>n-e</td>
<td>square</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BD</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>-5.5</td>
<td>2.111</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BH</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.163</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FD</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0.289</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FH</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>1.114</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mm F</td>
<td>AB</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-1.1</td>
<td>0.186</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AD</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>2.970</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CB</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0.707</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-5.2</td>
<td>6.394</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stm F</td>
<td>BA</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.078</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BD</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-5.4</td>
<td>5.434</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>excluded</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>7.542</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.386</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JA</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>-1.9</td>
<td>0.358</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gb M</td>
<td>CA</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>-2.7</td>
<td>0.732</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CE</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-0.1</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CI</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-1.4</td>
<td>0.594</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GA</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>4.615</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GE</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>1.138</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GI</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-4.0</td>
<td>5.298</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mm M</td>
<td>CA</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CB</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>1.070</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DA</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-1.9</td>
<td>0.592</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DB</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-1.5</td>
<td>0.326</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stm M</td>
<td>FE</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>3.246</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FG</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>2.502</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HE</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>-3.9</td>
<td>1.253</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HG</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-5.8</td>
<td>5.771</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In the following table, a calculation of the significance of tester differences is presented. It can be concluded that significant deviations of the traditional NDR from the unweighted average for the city and the sex occur in four of the six cases: Malmö female testers, Stockholm female testers, Gothenburg male testers, and Stockholm male testers.

Columns for the table below are as follows: Mean e: The mean of the expected difference between majority and minority preference cases across pairs of the given sex in the given city; Chi-sq: The value of a one-sample chi-square test between the observed and the expected difference between majority and minority preference cases; Df: Degrees of freedom; Sig.: The level of significance of the chi-square test. Values of less than 0.05 mean there is at least a 95 percent chance the deviations of pair net discrimination cases in a city and sex from the average are not entirely due to chance; V: Cramer’s V = square root of a term calculated.
as chi-square divided by the product of the number of cases and the number of columns or rows, whichever is smaller. The latter in our case is one making V identical to Phi.

Table 5  Chi-square on tester pair results per sex and city

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>City</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>chi-sq</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>sig.</th>
<th>V</th>
<th>Commentary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Female</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gothenburg</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>3.677</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.298</td>
<td>0.311</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malmö</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>10.256</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>0.683</td>
<td>not tester bias</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stockholm</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>13.799</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>0.667</td>
<td>not tester bias</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Male</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gothenburg</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>12.381</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td>0.632</td>
<td>too few expected cases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malmö</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>2.007</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.571</td>
<td>0.268</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stockholm</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>12.771</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.613</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Significant deviations of the traditional NDR of one or more pairs from the average for the city and the sex occur in three of the six cases: Stockholm female testers, Gothenburg male testers, and Stockhom male testers.

If pairs worked in different months, this will also impact their results. Most of the work in Stockholm and Malmö was done between March and May when the labour market changes rapidly. The number of cases is far too small to test pair results on a monthly basis. If pairs worked in different sectors of the labour market, this may also affect their results. Again, the numbers are too small to test.

The Malmö female pair results are significantly different from the unweighted average but on closer scrutiny this does not appear to be due to tester bias. Together with minority tester D, majority tester A was preferred more often than majority tester C was with minority tester A, but with minority tester B, majority tester C was preferred more often than majority tester A was with B. Hence, no clear pattern emerges as to which tester was preferred more or less than the other. The same is true of Stockholm female tester pairs and there is no evident explanation for this pattern. It may be due to chance, it may be due to the timing of the testing, the positions being applied to, etc. To make a breakdown of these factors would not clarify the issue, since the groups on which to calculate would be too small. This casts a degree of doubt on the instances where the results seem to indicate tester bias. The pattern in the Stockholm male results occurs partly at the phone stage, partly at the CV stage. There is no explanation for patterns occurring at the CV stage. For the same unknown reason, patterns may also occur at the phone stage. In fact, except for Malmö male testers, all CV stage results are significantly different from the unweighted average for the city and the sex. Statistical tests on the phone stage results are insignificant only for Malmö female testers.

When we have calculated excluding pairs with few cases, such as the Gothenburg male GI pair or the Stockholm female ID pair, the results remain virtually unchanged. Gothenburg male results are risky to perform a chi-square test on. The mean expected number of cases of net discrimination across the six pairs that were at work is only 5. For three of the six pairs the expected number is only 3, for one it is only 4. By all standards, therefore, a test should not be performed.
Stockholm male results show a uniquely interesting pattern of their own. The NDR is the difference between the share of cases with majority preference and the share of cases with minority preference. All three measures just mentioned were greater when majority tester F was involved than when majority tester H was, and they were greater when minority tester E was involved rather than G. Consequently, the FE pair has not only the largest NDR and the largest share of majority preference but also the largest share of minority preference, and the HG pair is lowest on all three. The difference between the majority testers has a larger impact than that between the minority testers. With a mean of 7 expected cases, Stockholm male results permit chi-square testing of the CV stage by itself. The CV stage is where there is no direct interaction between employer and applicant. The interaction here is in writing, and yet a clear pattern emerges. The chi-square test yielding a value of 11.075 is highly significant (p=0.011). There is no known explanation for such differences occurring at the CV stage. Patterns occurring at the phone stage may be attributable to the same unknown factors. Given the unexplained differences at the CV stage, we really do not know what any differences observed at the phone stage may mean and there are insufficient cases to test for biases in net discrimination at the phone stage by itself.

Given the unexplained differences at the CV stage, we cannot draw conclusions as to what the differences at the phone stage may mean, but only conclude that they exist. Therefore, it is difficult to analyse if and to what extent they have influenced the overall results. Since only one similar study has been conducted in Sweden\textsuperscript{15}, we have to resort to the assumption that the unexplained differences are either due to chance, or to unidentified variables influencing the outcome, and not to tester bias per se.

\textsuperscript{15} Carlsson’s and Roth’s recently published correspondence testing study produced very similar overall results
6. Results of the testing

6.1 Results for Sweden as a whole

In the following section we present the results for Sweden as a whole, divided between men and women.

The study shows that there are notable inequalities in the Swedish labour market regarding access to employment for Swedish employment seekers with an immigrant background, and a foreign sounding name.

The most immediately understandable presentation of the findings is to show the differential between the number of times a ‘majority’ tester needs to apply and the number of times an immigrant origin tester needs to apply to get a positive response in the application process.

The table below summarizing the outcome of the Sweden study shows that there are significant differences between the majority and minority testers in terms of how many jobs they need to apply to before being preferred or chosen.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Gothenburg</th>
<th>Malmö</th>
<th>Stockholm</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>Male</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tries for the</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>minority</td>
<td>26.4</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>18.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tries for the</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>majority</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difference</td>
<td>22.3</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>13.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiplier</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

On the high end are the females in Gothenburg, where the majority was chosen once every fourth time, whereas the minority applicant had to apply to over 26 job openings before being offered a chance. In Malmö the minority males had to apply to around 18 jobs before being preferred, whereas the majority needed to apply to around 5 jobs. Other results are a bit more moderate, with the lowest difference being for females in Stockholm, where the minority testers “only” had to apply to approximately double the number of openings to be preferred, when compared with majority females.

It is easy to comprehend the difficulties and the frustration of the individual applying for a job when it takes such effort to be chosen or preferred. To be repeatedly rejected takes its toll on self-confidence and motivation, which can be further illustrated by this transcription of paired telephone calls where unequal treatment was clearly manifested.
Stockholm – Female – Case 239 – Position as waitress in a restaurant

Call 1 Minority
Minority tester: Hello, my name is Zainab XX
Employer: Hello
Minority tester: I am calling about your advertisement
Employer: Wait a minute, I have to get a pen… ok, and your name was?
Minority tester: Zainab XX
Employer: Reina?
Minority tester: No, Zainab!
Employer: Can you please spell it?
Minority tester: Z A I N A B
Employer: Zainab, ok, and how old are you?
Minority tester: I am 23 years old.
Employer: Have you worked in a restaurant before?
Minority tester: Yes, in bars and restaurants.
Employer: And what did you do there?
Minority tester: I worked as a waitress. I also went to hotel- and restaurant school.
Employer: Ok, where do you live?
Minority tester: Söder, in Stockholm
Employer: Söder, ok, I will take your phone number so that I can get back to you. We have a lot of applications already, but I make a note here that you have some experience.
Minority tester: Yes…
Employer: I will call you back when I’ve gone through all the applications.
Minority tester: Do you want me to send in a CV or something?
Employer: No, not yet, I call you back. Just give me your telephone number.

(The tester gives her the telephone number and the phone call is completed)

Call 2 Majority
Majority tester: Hello, my name is Lovisa XX
Employer: Yes hello
Majority tester: I am calling about your advertisement
Employer: Ok, how old are you Lovisa?
Majority tester: I am 23
Employer: Have you worked in the restaurant industry before?
Majority tester: Yes I have. In a restaurant in Norrköping and in a café.
Employer: Have been serving food?
Majority tester: Yes I have.
Employer: Okay, where do you live?
Majority tester: Södermalm
Employer: Söder, okay.

(Then asks the tester if she knows how to get from there to the restaurant. The tester tells the employer that she has a car. Then the employer invites the tester to an interview the day after. An appointment is made and the phone call is completed).

By considering the measure of cases before being given preference, it is also possible to calculate a multiplier, i.e. how many more times a minority applicant has to try before he or she is chosen. As the figure below shows, the most marked result is for Gothenburg. However, this spike does not influence the overall female results, which lie very close to the male re-
results, due to a reverse situation in the other cities (i.e. minority males had to apply to more jobs in order to be preferred than minority females).

Figure 6 How many applications were needed to be given preference?

6.1.1 Results at the first stage “Telephone call”

In the table below, the results for the telephone stage is presented. As the table shows, a total of 735 female cases and 696 male cases were conducted, which means that a total of 1431 cases were started in Sweden.

Table 7 Results for Sweden at the phone stage

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>First stage – Telephone call</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>Male</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Total number of cases</td>
<td>735</td>
<td>696</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a) No contact</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) No applicant accepted</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) Phone skipped - direct to CV</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>176</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.2 Total valid cases</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>657</td>
<td>620</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.3 Valid and usable cases phone stage (1.2 – 1c)</td>
<td>491</td>
<td>444</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a) Both applicants accepted</td>
<td>411</td>
<td>345</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) Only the majority applicant accepted</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) Only the minority applicant accepted</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d) dropped/lost cases</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.4 Net discrimination (1.3b-1.3c)</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net Discrimination Rate NDR (%) (1.4/1.2 x 100)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5 Continuing to next stage</td>
<td>577</td>
<td>521</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A considerable number of cases were initiated directly by submission of a CV, i.e. without first calling the employer, as some employment ads specified NOT to call, but to send a CV directly instead. Researchers decided to respond to these announcements as well since they reflect common application procedures in Sweden today. A total of 166 cases for the females and 176 for the males were conducted in this way. Thus the total number of valid and usable cases at the telephone stage of the testing was 491 for the women and 444 for the men (also excluding the cases which were unusable and invalid).

As the table shows, the results at this stage of the testing were fairly low, with a net discrimination rate of 2% for the women and 5% for the men. When looking at the results and excluding the dropped cases in the calculation, the results do not change to any significant extent; the only change is that the NDR for women increases one percentage point to 3%.

One may question whether the high rate of direct to CV cases may have had an influence on the results, since it is not known how these employers would have reacted to a phone call. If we distinguish between cases that were completed at the phone stage, cases that went through the phone stage but were completed either at the CV or the interview stage, and cases that skipped the phone stage, an interesting pattern emerges. Among completions at the phone stage, majority preference was 1.9 times as likely as minority preference. Among later-stage completions, majority preference was 3.9 times as likely as minority preference. Among cases skipping the phone stage, majority preference was 2.8 times as likely as minority preference, which is very close to the overall result of 2.6 times. In other words, the average across all cases beginning at the phone stage was almost the same as the average across cases skipping the phone. This suggests that, had the cases in which the phone stage was skipped also included the phone stage, the results would have been affected very little, if at all.

Though the results at this stage signal fairly equal treatment of the minority in respect to the majority testers, there is still a difference in outcome. During the calls, there were also a number of situations in which discrimination showed itself in a direct manner. Note the following transcript from a test conducted in Malmö:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Malmö – Female – Case 85 – Position as a waitress in a restaurant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Call 1 Minority</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minority tester: Hello, my name is Jasmina XX and I am calling about the job as a waitress.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employer: Eh, sorry to say, but the vacancy is filled.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minority tester: Okay.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employer: Yes, thank you.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minority tester: Thank you bye.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employer: Bye.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Call 2 Majority</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Majority tester: Hello my name is Carolina.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employer: Hello!</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Majority tester: I am calling about the job as a waitress that you are advertising for.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employer: Yes, have you experience?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Majority tester: Yes I have, one year.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employer: As a waitress in a restaurant?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Majority tester: Yes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employer: Okay, eh… what else do you do?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Majority tester: I am from Småland, but moved to Malmö a month ago. I studied one semester but I want to work again, I don’t have a job at the moment.
Employer: Eh… so you are not up to anything else at the moment?
Majority tester: No.
Employer: Can you come in here tomorrow?
Majority tester: Yes, I can do that!
Employer: Okay, that’s nice!
Majority tester: Okay, bye
Employer: Bye

This case clearly demonstrates unequal treatment, as the employer resorted to saying that the vacancy was filled in order to terminate the call from the minority tester. In other cases, the manner of dismissal was more subtle and difficult to discern. However, the following exchange with the majority tester brought these latent attitudes into bright relief.

**Stockholm – Male – Case 179 – Position as a waiter in a restaurant**

**Call 1 Minority**
Minority tester: Hello, my name is Junus XX
Employer: Hello
Minority tester: I am calling about your advertisement for a waitress or waiter
Employer: It’s waitress in your case, is it?
Minority tester: No, its waiter *laugh*
Employer: We are looking for a girl
Minority tester: You are looking for a girl?
Employer: Yes
Minority tester: Ok, yes but…
Employer: Sorry
Minority tester: Well, that’s a pity
Employer: Yes, but we actually do!
Minority tester: Ok, thank you
Employer: Thank you, bye

**Call 2 Majority**
Majority tester: Hello, my name is Martin
Employer: Hello
Majority tester: I am calling about your advertisement for waiters and waitresses
Employer: Yes, ok
Majority tester: Are you the right person to speak to?
Employer: Yes absolutely, what did you have in mind?
Majority tester: Well I want to send in an application for the job, but I have some questions about the salary.
Employer: Ok, but you should talk to the restaurant manager about that, it depends on your previous work experience and so on.
Majority tester: Ok, I understand, but I will send in my CV then.
Employer: Yes, and what was your name?
Majority tester: Martin XX
Employer: Yes, Martin. Great Martin, send in your CV. The Manager will read it and get back to you.
Majority tester: That’s nice! Thank You.
Employer: Yes, thank you and bye, bye!
Majority tester: Bye!
In this case, the minority tester appears to have been immediately dismissed on the equally illegal grounds of gender. However, the majority tester’s experience reveals this be to have been a false pretext.

However, there were also cases in which unequal treatment was to the disadvantage of the majority caller, as the following case illustrates:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stockholm – Female – Case 56 – Position as a shop assistant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Call 1 Minority</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minority tester: Hello, my name is Zainab XXXX, I am calling about your advertisement for a shop assistant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employer: Yes that’s right</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minority tester: I wanted to ask you some questions about the post</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employer: But can you please send in a CV like everybody else?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minority tester: Yes of course, I can do that!</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employer: Do that, that’s easier, because I really don’t have time to talk to you on the phone right now</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minority tester: You don’t?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employer: No, we have clients here at the moment, so it’s much easier if you just send it in.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minority tester: Okay, but can you please tell me when I can expect an answer from you?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employer: No, it says clearly that you are supposed to send in your application by E-mail.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minority tester: Yes, but I just wonder when I can expect an answer.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employer: As soon as I get it, I will read it and get back to you if it something for us</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minority tester: Ok, bye</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employer: Bye</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| **Call 2 Majority**                                         |
| Majority tester: Hello, my name is Anna                     |
| Employer: Is it about the job advertisement you are calling? |
| Majority tester: Yes, exactly.                              |
| Employer: Ok, did you send in your CV already?              |
| Majority tester: No not yet, I just wanted to talk to you about the job first… |
| Employer: Okay, we have already got a terrible amount of applications, so we decided not to accept any more applications now. We have got more than 100 applications by now. |
| Majority tester: Oh, so there is no need for me to send in one then, is it? |
| Employer: No, it’s not. We have already got too many of them. |
| Majority tester: Yes, I understand, but thank you anyway    |
| Employer: Yes, thank you, and bye                           |
| Majority tester: Bye                                        |

In this case, the employer tells the second caller that they have a “terrible” number of applications. It is clear in both calls that the employer is stressed about the fact that several job seekers are calling and sending in their CV.

In other cases, the unequal treatment of the majority caller is more difficult to explain or understand:
Call 1 Minority
Minority tester: Hi, my name is Yashar and I’m calling about the job advertisement.
Employer: Okay…
Minority: Delivery driver, right?
Employer: Yeah, that’s right.
Minority: Is the job still available?
Employer: Yes, it’s still available.
Minority: Okay, I have a few questions. The salary, how much is it, more or less?
Employer: Have you worked as a delivery driver before?
Minority tester: Yes, I have.
Employer: Okay, for what company?
Minority: “Bud centre” and “Jet Pak”.
Employer: “Jet Pak”?
Minority tester: Yes.
Employer: Okay, the (not possible to understand)?
Minority tester: Pardon me?
Employer: Which, Jet Pak?
Minority tester: Yes, exactly.
Employer: Okay, for how long did you work there?
Minority tester: At Jet Pak I worked for… about three or four months.
Employer: Okay, and why did you quit?
Minority tester: I don’t know, I wanted something new. They didn’t give me many work-hours. You
know, extra hours.
Employer: Okay.
Minority tester: But I see that your job is full-time.
Employer: Okay, you’re looking for full-time.
Minority tester: Yes.
Employer: Actually, we have full-time jobs.
Minority tester: Okay, and how do I do now, do I send my CV, or…
Employer: Yeah, sure, but you wanted to know about the salary, right?
Minority tester: Yes, exactly.
Employer: It’s about 16 to 17 per month.
Minority tester: 16 to 17 thousand?
Employer: Yes, exactly.
Minority tester: Okay, that sounds great. Do I just send my CV or how do we…?
Employer: Yes, you can… where do you live?
Employer: Yeah, I know you live in Stockholm, where in Stockholm?
Minority tester: Stockholm city.
Employer: Stockholm city?
Minority tester: South side.
Employer: South side, okay. And, how old are you?
Minority tester: 24.
Employer: Okay then. Send us an application and we’ll have a look at it, to see where you’ve worked
and so on… And then we’ll call you.
Minority tester: Okay, just one more question. How long does it take before you reply, do you know?
Employer: Well, you know, we have a lot of applicants, so…
Minority tester: Well, I’ll just hope for the best then.
Employer: Yeah, okay.
Minority tester: Okay, thanks.
Call 2 Majority
Majority tester: Hello, my name is Martin. I’m calling about the job as a delivery driver.
Employer: Yes?
Majority tester: Is the job still available?
Employer: No, it isn’t.
Majority tester: Okay, thank you.
Employer: Thank you, bye.

In this case the second caller is promptly rejected by the employer, who does not even attempt to start a conversation, as with the first caller. Here, there was a clear difference in the length of the phone call and the employer selection. However, in most cases the length of phone call does not necessarily determine the outcome. During testing, the length of telephone calls was routinely recorded and later analysed for possible correlations with ethnicity or employer preference. No consistent correlations were found.
6.1.2 Results at second stage “Invitation to an interview”

At the second stage of testing, the outcome could be either that neither was invited to interview, that one of the two testers was invited, or both were. As the table shows, the results produced a differential outcome of the testers’ applications, with a net discrimination rate of 12% for women and 10% for men.

Of all the submitted applications: only 13% (39+34) for the women, and 6% (16+20) for the men resulted in both applicants being invited to an interview. The results at this stage demonstrate one of the peculiarities (and difficulties) of the Swedish labour market, i.e. that it is difficult for any young inexperienced person to enter the labour market. Even so, it appears to be even harder for a person with an immigrant background to get a job. A cumulative net discrimination rate at 14% for women and 15% for men demonstrates that there was a difference in treatment not readily explained by variables other than discriminatory behaviour on the part of the employer.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Second stage – Invitation to an interview</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>Male</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2 Usable cases</td>
<td>577</td>
<td>521</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a) Both rejected</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) No reply to CV</td>
<td>307</td>
<td>283</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) Both testers invited</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d) Only the majority tester invited</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e) Only the minority tester invited</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f) CV skipped - interview direct</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g) dropped/lost</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| 2.1 Net discrimination (d-e)            | 77     | 62    |
| Net discrimination Rate NDR (2.1/1.2) % | 12     | 10    |

| Cumulative Net Discrimination Rate %    | 14     | 15    |

| Continuing to next stage (c+f)          | 73     | 36    |

Of all the cases that went on to stage two, less than half led to an actual outcome, whether it was that both were rejected, one invited, or both invited. The percentage of cases where no response was given at all to CVs averaged 60 percent. Consequently only a few of these cases went on to an interview.

If we look at the results and exclude the cases which were dropped or lost at some stage in the testing process the NDR for the second stage increases by one percentage point for women and men respectively.

However, if we also exclude all the cases where no response was given whatsoever to the CV, the NDR increases dramatically, to 29 percentage points for women and 21 percentage points for the men. These figures correspond very well to the correspondence testing\(^\text{16}\) which has been carried out by Carlsson and Rooth during 2006, where the overall NDR was calcu-

\(^\text{16}\) Correspondance testing is conducted by submitting applications in written form from a majority and a majority with similar qualifications, and then calculating the difference in outcome.
lated to be 29.6 percentage points (when using an equivalent mode of calculation). In the testing conducted concurrently in France, this more rigorous mode was used to arrive at the NDR, by discounting cases where there was no clear demonstration of employer consideration. Annex I presents the results of this study calculated by the alternative approach.

The results at stage two present some challenges to the interpretation of the results. In other countries where testing has been performed, the larger share of discriminatory behaviour took place at the first stage, a telephone call, whereas in Sweden the larger share of the discrimination clearly takes place at the second stage, an invitation to interview.

According to information from employers who were given a follow-up call, as many as 300 applicants may submit their CVs for the same job. Employers have little opportunity to personally review all of the potential applicants. Since only a few of cases went onwards to stage three, the offer of a job, the overall basis for calculating the Net Discrimination Rate, NDR, is lower in Sweden than in other countries where testing has been conducted. In the report we therefore also present data in ways which attempt to illustrate the difficulties for entering the labour market (See Chapter 6.4)

6.1.3 Results at third stage “Offer of a job”

For the women, a total of 73 cases continued beyond stage two. For men, however, only 36 cases reached that point. As the table below shows, several of the cases had to be dropped at this stage due to a number of factors, such as employers asking for references or exam papers or the testers’ unavailability at the time of the interview. Since the number of cases is too low to give any sense in terms of net discrimination rate for this stage, only the cumulative net discrimination will be presented.

| Table 9 Sweden as a whole – Results at the third stage |
|-----------------------------------------------|-----|-----|
| Third stage - Offered a job                   | Female | Male |
| 3 Usable cases                                | 73   | 36   |
| a) Interviews did not take place              | 45   | 20   |
| 3.2 Interviews took place                     | 28   | 16   |
| a) Job not offered to any of tester           | 5    | 6    |
| b) Job offered only to majority tester        | 5    | 2    |
| c) Job offered only to minority tester        | 7    | 2    |
| d) Job offered to both testers                | 11   | 6    |
| 3.3 Net discrimination (b-c)                  | -2   | 0    |
| Cumulative Net Discrimination Rate (%)        | 14   | 15   |

What the results show is a similar pattern as in other countries, namely that the discrimination at the interview stage is often lower. Despite the small number of cases, it appears that in some instances, for example in Malmö, there is actually a negative result for discrimination at the last stage. Logically, this makes sense since an employer who have already made the decision to invite an applicant for an interview, presumably does so with the intention to possibly employ the individual in question.

When we look at the results and exclude cases that at some point in the testing have been dropped or lost, we arrive at a net discrimination rate of 16 percentage points, for women as
well as men. If only cases receiving a response are used, the overall cumulative NDR increases to 34 percentage points for women and 32 percentage points for the men. See Annex II for a complete presentation of the results using this calculation.

6.2 Results for the three cities

In the table below, results for the three cities are presented, divided between men and women. The results show that the situation is quite similar in the three cities, with only the female testing in Gothenburg showing a higher degree of discrimination than the others. Regarding the results for men, the cumulative NDR is nearly the same, and it is only among the women that there appears to be some regional variation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 10 Results for the cities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Göteborg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>First stage - CV sent to employer</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total number of cases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No contact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No applicant accepted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phone call skipped</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valid and usable cases phone stage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a) Both applicants accepted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) Only the majority applicant accepted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) Only the minority applicant accepted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d) Dropped/lost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net discrimination (b-c)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Net Discrimination Rate (%)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cases continuing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Second stage – Invite to interview</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Useable cases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a) Both testers rejected</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) No reply to CV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) Both testers invited</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) Only the majority tester invited</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d) Only the minority tester invited</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e) Dropped/lost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f) CV skipped – interview direct</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net discrimination (c-d)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cumulative NDR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cumulative NDR (%)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cases continuing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Third stage - Offered a job</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Useable cases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interviews did not take place</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The results per city also highlight that the largest amount of discrimination seems to have occurred at the CV stage, where the employers have chosen whom to invite for an interview. As discussed in the previous section this indicates that for employment procedures in Sweden, the telephone stage is not used as a screening instrument as in other countries. Instead most of the screening is done on the basis of the CV.

6.3 Results by sectors

In the analysis of the results, we have also divided the outcome of the testing according to the primary sectors tested. As has been described in the methodology section, two sectors were chosen as targets for both men and for women: wholesale and retail trade and hotel-restaurant work. In addition, as a third concentration, it was decided to conduct a significant number of tests in the transport-warehouse sector for men and in health care for the women. However, there were not sufficient job openings in these latter specific sectors so the results are presented with an aggregate mix of sectors in the category “other services”.

In the table below, the first stage results are shown, disaggregated according to which sectors the cases belonged to. It is clear that the sector wholesale/retail and trade (ISIC 46-53) is dominating the total sample, with over 300 cases for both men and women. Several of the openings within this sector were telemarketing, with low salaries sometimes purely based on commission. Another interesting feature of the testing by sectors is to note the high number of cases within “wholesale, retail and trade” in which the phone call was skipped, i.e. where the applicants were explicitly asked not to call the employer before sending in an application.

---

17 “Other Services” nonetheless mainly include warehousing/transport for males and for females office/clerical and health/social sectors.
### Table 11  Results by sector, first stage

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>First stage – Phone call/CV sent to employer</th>
<th>Whole-sale/Retail/Trade</th>
<th></th>
<th>Hotel/Restaurant</th>
<th></th>
<th>Other services (incl health)</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>Male</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 Total number of cases</td>
<td>325</td>
<td>346</td>
<td>215</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>191</td>
<td>158</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a) No contact</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) No applicant accepted</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) Phone call skipped</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.2 Valid and usable cases</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>phone stage</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a) Both applicants accepted</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) Only the majority applicant accepted</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) Only the minority applicant accepted</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d) Dropped/aborted cases</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.3 Net discrimination (b-c)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net Discrimination Rate</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NDR %</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.4 Cases continuing</td>
<td>266</td>
<td>284</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Both applicants accepted</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phone call skipped</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

These sectoral findings are similar to the overall results, apart from the male testing within Hotel and Restaurant (ISIC 55/56). The NDR for males seeking employment within this sector reaches 10%, which is clearly higher than the overall results, and also higher than the male results in other sectors and the female results within Hotel and Restaurant at the first stage. However, these results are not statistically significant, and can only be called upon to demonstrate a tendency.

At the second stage of testing, the invitation to an interview, the same pattern emerged, and the results for the male testing within Hotel and Restaurant reach a cumulative NDR of 22 percent. It is also worth noting that at the second stage, the female testing within “Other Services” reaches 18 percent NDR, which is considerably higher than other sectors, although also not statistically significant.
Table 12  Results by sectors, second stage

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Second stage – Invitation to an interview</th>
<th>Wholesale / Retail / Trade</th>
<th>Hotel / Restaurant</th>
<th>Other services (incl health)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Female</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Valid and usable cases</td>
<td>266</td>
<td>284</td>
<td>146</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a) Both testers rejected</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) No reply to CV</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) Both testers invited</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) Only the majority tester invited</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d) Only the minority tester invited</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e) Dropped/aborted</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f) CV skipped - interview direct</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.1 Net discrimination (c-d)                  26    32    20    15    31    14
Net discrimination at the stage (%)          9     10    11    12    18    10

Cumulative discrimination                      38    45    25    27    30    16
Cumulative NDR (%)                             13    14    14    22    17    12

2.2 Cases continuing                          43    19    21    12    9     4

The second stage of the testing shows quite clearly that there are some differences with regard to the outcome of the tester’s applications, depending on which sector they are applying in. The differential treatment appears to be larger for openings within “Other Services” for women, and within “Hotel and Restaurant” for men.

Table 13  Results by sectors, third stage

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Third stage – Offered a job</th>
<th>Wholesale / Retail / Trade</th>
<th>Hotel / Restaurant</th>
<th>Other services (incl health)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Female</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Valid and usable cases</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a) Interviews did not take place</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) Job not offered</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) Job offered only to majority tester</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d) Job offered only to minority tester</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e) Job offered to both testers</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.1 Net discrimination (c-d)                 -2    1    1    -1    -1    0
Cumulative discrimination                     36    46    26    26    29    16
Cumulative discrimination (%)                 12    14    14    21    17    12
At the third stage no change to this pattern occurs, as can be seen in the table above. The NDR for each sector is similar to the overall percentage, apart from “Hotel and Restaurant” for the men and “Other Services” for the women.

When we look at the testing by the number of tries before being given preference, the differential treatment of women seeking employment within “Other Services” is prominent. According to this measure, a minority female would have to apply to five times as many jobs as a majority female, in order to be given preference.

### Table 14 Results by sectors, number of tries before being given preference

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Wholesale / Retail / Trade</th>
<th>Hotel / Restaurant</th>
<th>Other services (incl health)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Female</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minority tries</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>8.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Majority tries</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difference</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 6.4 Results of Sweden in relation to previously conducted testing

The testing methodology is not designed to compare the level of discrimination in different countries. Such comparisons are highly speculative because of the many factors specific to national labour markets, including legal context, labour market conditions, immigration history and groups tested, and so on.

Nonetheless, it may be instructive to refer to the outcomes of other country studies with the goal of addressing discrimination as a common phenomenon.

As the table below shows, the experience of ‘minority’ testers in Sweden was quite similar to that of other countries in terms of the number of tries necessary for a ‘minority’ tester to be given preference or chosen, relative to a ‘majority’ tester.

### Table 15 International references – cases per being given preference

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Tries per case of being preferred</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Majority</td>
<td>Minority</td>
<td>Multiple</td>
<td>Difference</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gothenburg</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malmö</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>16.9</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stockholm</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brussels</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antwerp</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liege</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barcelona</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Madrid</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

18 The testing was conducted during the following time periods: **Spain**: September 1994 to January 1995; **Belgium**: Autumn 1995 to spring 1996 and autumn 1996 to spring 1997; **Italy**: February to June 2003; **Sweden**: November 2005 to August 2006
What is also notable is that it takes a considerable effort to find employment in Sweden, even for majority jobseekers. The majority testers in Sweden had to apply to nearly double as many jobs as majorities in other countries in order to be given preference. While the study cannot offer an explanation, this may be due to a weak labour market with relatively high unemployment at the time of testing.

In terms of the original testing methodology, Sweden shows a significant level of discrimination, yet it appears lower than results obtained in other countries. The overall standard cumulative NDR for women was 14%, and 15% for men, as compared with NDR’s of between 25% and 40% in other countries.

This difference is particularly notable at the first stage of application, the telephone call. In other countries, rates ranged from 14% in Denmark to above 30% in the Netherlands and Spain. In Sweden the rate was found to be only 5%.

However, at the second stage, results in Sweden are close to results in other countries. Also, when all cases of “no response” to written applications are excluded, overall Swedish results are similar to those in other countries. As noted above, these calculations revealed an NDR of 32% for women and 34% for men.

In contrast to other countries, Swedish employers do not rely on initial telephone contact as a screening instrument, but encourage candidates to send in CVs. However, employers also reported to have received up to 400 applications for one position. The earlier testing experience in Denmark showed a similar pattern with a relatively low NDR at the first stage of testing (14 percent), whereas in other countries the discrimination rate was highest in the first stage.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>lamaga</th>
<th>Turin</th>
<th>Rome</th>
<th>Naples</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21.0</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>21.8</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19.7</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>19.8</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
7. Analysis and Initial Conclusions

A few initial conclusions can be drawn from the findings of this study. These conclusions may be helpful in considering policy options to address differential treatment of natives of immigrant origin, as well as immigrants, in access to employment.

7.1 What do the results say about inequalities in access to employment?

The study shows that there are inequalities in the Swedish labour market regarding access to employment for Swedish employment seekers with an immigrant background and a foreign sounding name.

Following the standard ILO methodology comparing the experiences of ‘majority’ and ‘minority’ testers, statistically significant differences were registered.

This testing found a net discrimination rate of 14% for women and 15% for men. If analysis is limited to cases in which a response is given, the overall cumulative NDR increases to 34% for women and 32% for the men.

These results are consistent with a similar study conducted by Carlsson, M and Rooth, D.O (2006) using so called correspondence testing to test access to employment for male minorities with an Arabic-sounding name. Given that correspondence testing is roughly equivalent to stage two in the practice testing, we can conclude that the results of the two tests are similar, with the NDR of 9.6% in Carlsson and Rooth’s study corresponding to a second stage NDR of 10% in this study (using a similar mode of calculation, i.e. using all cases in the denominator for calculation of NDR at the written application stage). The similarity in outcome of these two studies reinforces the validity of their respective results in the Swedish context.

In the correspondence testing, the NDR reached a level of 29.4% when using only cases in which at least one applicant was accepted or chosen. As noted above, the ILO study found a NDR of 34% for women and 32 for men when excluding similar cases for which, no response was obtained.

Even more dramatic differences are evident when application experiences between ‘majority’ and ‘minority’ testers are compared. In Gothenburg, the majority applicant was preferred once every fourth time, whereas the minority applicant had to apply to over 26 job openings before being offered a chance. In Malmö, the minority men applied to some 18 jobs before being preferred, while the majority applicants only needed to apply to 5 jobs. The lowest difference, for women in Stockholm, still revealed that minority testers had to apply to approximately double the number of openings of majority women.

---

19 Correspondence testing is conducted by submitting applications in written form from a majority and a majority with similar qualifications, and then calculating the difference in outcome.
7.2 Differences among sectors

The results show differences in behaviour across different economic sectors. Comparing results with background data on which sectors predominantly employ persons of immigrant origin or identity, those sectors with a higher proportion of ‘minorities’ show higher rates of discrimination. In other words, a higher level of discrimination was observed in the sectors that presented a statistically significant prevalence of foreign born in the labour force.

On the other hand, foreign-born applicants are occasionally favoured over the native-born in the Hotel and Restaurant sector, as well as in other private services. The third sector tested, Retail and Trade, showed a significant difference favouring the ‘majority’, yet also presented the lowest overall net discrimination rate.

These results are consistent with the research conducted by Carlsson and Rooth (2006), where it was concluded that occupations with a higher percentage of minority workers were inclined to favour majority applicants in the employment processes. As mentioned before, this study does not explore the grounds for differential treatment, so no attempt is made to explain this phenomenon. The Carlsson and Rooth study discusses whether the predominance of minorities in certain sectors is due to a lack of majority candidates willing to undertake the work in question, thereby creating a situation where the majorities are favoured should they apply.

It is often stated that any profession is better than no profession, even though this might mean working below one’s formal competence level. However, as testing has demonstrated, access to employment is by no means easier when applying to the sectors where immigrants constitute a significant proportion of the labour force. For an individual seeking employment, it must be extremely discouraging to be repeatedly rejected even for jobs below his or her level of competence.

7.3 Differences among cities

The testing did not show significant differences among cities with regard to the net discrimination rates. However, there are some notable differences concerning overall labour market conditions that affect both majority and minority employment seekers.

This holds true specifically in Malmö, where employment levels are generally lower for both majorities and minorities, and where the testers needed to apply to considerably more vacancies to be given preference. This indicates a problem on the demand side of the labour market, which equals out the net discrimination rate, since it appears to be harder for both a majority and the minority to be given preference.

7.4 What does testing say about the labour market for young job-seekers?

A characteristic of the Swedish testing was been that both testers, the majority as well as the minority, had difficulties in being preferred or chosen by employers. In a large majority of cases, neither of the paired applicants was chosen for an interview.

It took considerable efforts for both the majority and the minority tester to be given an opportunity to continue the application process. This was in a context in which all testers had assumed an identity with relevant experience to the position in question, had an address in a
well-regarded mixed neighbourhood and had completed high school. Even so, their chances of obtaining jobs that did not require any formal skills or experience were very small.

These results are consistent with the employment level of youths in Sweden, which is one of the European countries with the highest youth unemployment “gap”\(^\text{20}\) between the ages of 20 to 24.

The testing demonstrates that youth in Sweden without high qualifications have great difficulties accessing employment which extend beyond the purview of this study. There seems to be a clear mismatch between labour market supply and demand within low- and semi-skilled occupations.

### 7.5 Reference to other countries

This testing methodology is not designed to compare levels of discrimination between different countries. Comparisons are not valid since many factors specific to each national labour market affect outcomes.

Nonetheless, the experience of minority’ testers in Sweden was quite similar to that of other countries in the differential number of tries necessary for a ‘minority’ tester and a ‘majority’ tester to be given preference or chosen.

On the other hand, while the Swedish results show a significant level of discrimination, they appear lower than results obtained in other countries, particularly at the initial application stage.

This study measures the existence of unequal treatment, but cannot determine the grounds for it. A number of factors may explain, individually and in combination, the differences among outcomes in different countries, and within Sweden. These factors include the specific processes and characteristics of national labour markets. The study results coincide with other data showing the Swedish labour market to be particularly tight for youth employment.

A second factor is the time in which studies were done vis-a-vis general economic conditions. Several of the studies were conducted during periods when national economies were experiencing growth and relatively lower unemployment rates than was the case in Sweden for this study.

Thirdly, the immigration situations and target groups for testing vary among countries. For example, the studies in Italy and Spain addressed male immigrants in countries where immigration is a recent phenomenon.

**A possible reason for a lower rate in Sweden**

A fourth factor is the extent and duration of anti-discrimination legislation, the existence and effect monitoring and enforcement mechanisms, and the extent of efforts and activities to change public and employer attitudes.

Sweden now has a considerable history of legislation, institutions and awareness raising efforts by government, social partners and other actors to combat discrimination. For example,

\(^{20}\) OECD Employment Outlook
the Swedish Ombudsman Against Ethnic Discrimination is currently celebrating its 20\textsuperscript{th} year of existence.

In other countries, little or none of these elements existed at the time of testing, let alone prior to it. While it is difficult to draw firm conclusions from the outcome in Sweden as related to other countries, recent history of anti-discrimination measures may have had some effect in changing behaviours in the Swedish labour market.
8. Some perspectives on the future

The target group for this testing study was relatively small in terms of the number of people within that the age group born in Sweden. However, this group of Swedes is growing as more and more members of the second-generation reach employment age. If account is taken of those who arrived at an early age and are now in school, a steadily growing stream of people of immigrant background will soon be seeking access to employment.

The outcome of this study reinforces concerns articulated in other studies and government commissioned investigations: marginalisation in Sweden remains a serious challenge, particularly as large groups of native youth of immigrant origin and foreign born youth who arrived long ago now enter the labour market. Unless young Swedes of immigrant origin are given equal opportunities and access to employment, there is a risk of increasing social exclusion and decreasing cohesion in society.

These tendencies have already been observed. For example, the concept “Young outsiders” (SOU 2003:92), has recently been used to designate a considerable number of youth, mainly of foreign origin or background, which are considered to live completely outside of Sweden’s security systems. Powerful measures are required to reverse this exclusion; otherwise a considerable proportion of a generation of able and potentially productive citizens are at risk of being lost and marginalised.

While the legal and institutional framework against discrimination in Sweden may be considered quite well developed, its implementation in terms of training, monitoring, promotion of good practices and other aspects may be inadequate and can certainly be improved. Enforcement is lacking and sanctions against those found to discriminate are relatively insignificant.

The findings of this study, prepared by the independent research agency Ramboll Management, will permit the ILO to recommend even stronger measures to promote equal opportunity in Sweden. In doing so, the ILO can be expected to draw on its unique and extensive knowledge base and experience in the field of discrimination and equality in employment.
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